UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,254	11/26/2003	David J. Yonce	279.628US1	6063
	590 02/16/200 LUNDBERG WOE	7 SSNER & KLUTH, P.A.	279.628US1 6063 EXAMINER OROPEZA, FRANCES P	INER
P.O. BOX 2938		279.628US1 6063 EXAMINER OROPEZA, FRANCES P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3766	FRANCES P	
MINNEAPOLIS	i, MN 55402			
ONO DESCRIPTION OF THE ONLY	DEBLOD OF BESTONES	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MÓN	THE	02/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			و
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,254	YONCE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frances P. Oropeza	3766	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be study and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed Im the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/6	/07 (RCE) & 12/6/06 (Amendmen	<u>t)</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	of the certified copies not receiv	/ea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail I		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) LJ Other:		

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. The Applicant's submission filed on 2/6/07 has been entered.
- 2. The Applicant amended independent claim 1 in the response filed 12/6/06 hence the rejection of record is withdrawn and a new rejection established in the subsequent paragraphs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al. (US 6748274) in view of Samuelsson et al. (US 7050857).

Levine et al. disclose a method and apparatus for displaying information comprising an implantable device (100) the implantable device comprising a first sensing channel (82 or 84) and a controller (60). The method and apparatus further comprise an external programmer (102) including a display (video display (214) and printer (236)) to show graphical data (waveform and histogram). The electrocardiogram data is compiled with respect to time and with respect to heart rate. Events are time stamped/ marked (figures 2, 3, 5, 8-11B; col. 5 @ 33-45; col. 7 @ 7-16; col. 9 @ 1-12; col. 10 @ 60-62; col. 12 @ 53-54; col. 13 @ 59-61; col. 14 @ 16-18, 31-34; col. 15 @ 5-12; col. 16 @ 17-19).

Art Unit: 3766

As to claims 2 and 13, the representative electrocardiogram (IECG) is an average of a plurality of IECGs and is a function of time/ heart rate (col. 14 @ 31-34; col. 15 @ 5-13; col. 15 @ 67 - col. 16 @ 3).

As to claims 3 and 12, the representative electrocardiogram (IECG) is a single IECG and is a function of time/ heart rate (col. 12 @ 42-47; col. 14 @ 31-34; col. 15 @ 5-13).

As to claims 4 and 14, the representative electrocardiogram (IECG) is an intrinsic IECG and are a function of time/ heart rate (col. 13 @ 55-57; col. 14 @ 31-34; col. 15 @ 5-13).

As to claims 5 and 15, the representative electrocardiograms are evoked responses from the evoked response window, collected as paces, and are functions of time/ heart rate (col. 4 @ 3-6; col. 7 @ 42; col. 15 @ 5-13; col. 15 @ - col. 16 @ 3).

As to claims 6 and 9, the representative electrocardiograms may be continuous relative to time and period, and are derived as a function of time/ heart rate (col. 15 @ 5-13; col. 15 @ 67 - col. 16 @ 3).

As to claims 7 and 16, the display screen 9214) or the printer (236) display the representative electrocardiogram (fig. 5).

As to claim 10, the controller maintains representative electrocardiograms being discarded (col. 14 @ 63-67).

As discussed in the eight previous paragraphs of this action, Levine et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the representative electrograms being overlain upon one another.

Samuelsson et al. teach programmer display using representative electrograms being overlain upon one another for the purpose of enabling comparison of different waveforms. It

Art Unit: 3766

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have electrograms being overlain upon one another in the Levine et al. system in order to enable data comparison so the pacer data can be used to diagnose the condition of the patient, and based on the diagnosis, the pacer adapted to treat the condition of the patient (abstract; col. 1 @ 21-27; col. 7 @ 46-55).

4. Claims 8 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al. (US 6748274) and Samuelsson et al. (US 7050857) in view of Palmer et al. (US 5830150). As discussed in paragraph 3 of this action, modified Levine et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the graphic display having the magnitude of the electrocardiogram displayed in shading or color.

Palmer et al. teach data display using a graphic display having the magnitude of the electrocardiogram displayed in shading or color for the purpose of highlighting changes in the condition of the patient. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the magnitude of the electrocardiogram displayed in shading or color in the modified Levine et al. system in order for the caregivers to become more easily aware of striking events and more subtle events, the caregiver's eyes being drawn by the colors to the variable at the time of its change so appropriate care can be provided for the patient's changing condition (col. 1 @ 53-55; col. 3 @ 6-18; col. 4 @ 1-26; col. 5 @ 1-23).

Art Unit: 3766

Statutory Basis

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4953. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communication and for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766 2.13-07

Robert E. Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3766